

### REMARKS


The Office Action states that claims 11 to 22 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1 to 10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,162,920. While not necessarily agreeing with the merits of this rejection, to facilitate matters, submitted herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer over U.S. Patent no. 7,162,920, thereby obviating the double-patenting rejection.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are allowable. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12/11/07

By:

  
Gerard A. Messina  
Reg. No. 35,952

KENYON & KENYON LLP  
One Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 425-7200  
**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**